

FOURTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (2016-2019)

TWENTY SEVENTH REPORT

(Presented on 9th March, 2017)

SECRETARIAT OF THE KERALA LEGISLATURE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
2017

FOURTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (2016-2019)

TWENTY SEVENTH REPORT

On

Transformers and Electricals Kerala Limited
(Based on the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General
of India for the year ended 31 March, 2012)

CONTENTS

			Page
Composition of the	Committee		v
Introduction			vii
Report		••	I
Appendix I:			
Summar	y of main Conclusions/Recommendation	ons	4
Appendix II:			
Notes fu Paragrap	rnished by Government on the Audit		5

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (2016-2019)

COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE

Chairman:

Shri C. Divakaran.

Members:

Shri T. A. Ahammed Kabeer

Shri K. B. Ganesh Kumar

Shri C. Krishnan

Shri S. Rajendran

Shri Thiruvanchoor Radhakrishnan

Shri P. T. A. Rahim

Shri Raju Abraham

Shri Sunny Joseph

Shri C. F. Thomas

Shri P. Unni.

Legislature Secretariat:

Shri V. K. Babu Prakash, Secretary

Smt. P. K. Girija, Additional Secretary

Shri P. B. Suresh Kumar, Deputy Secretary

Smt. Deepa, V., Under Secretary.

INTRODUCTION

I, the Chairman, Committee on Public Undertakings (2016-2019) having been authorised by the Committee to present the report on its behalf, present this Twenty Seventh Report on Transformers and Electricals Kerala Limited, based on the report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended 31 March, 2012 relating to the Public Sector Undertakings of the State of Kerala.

The Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended on 31st March 2012, was laid on the Table of the House on 18-2-2013. The consideration of the audit paragraphs included in this report and the examination of the departmental witness in connection thereto were made by the Committee on Public Undertakings constituted for the years 2014-2016.

This Report was considered and approved by the Committee (2016-2019) at its meeting held on 2-3-2017.

The Committee places on record its appreciation for the assistance rendered by the Accountant General (Audit) Kerala, in the examination of the audit paragraphs included in this report.

The Committee wishes to express thanks to the officials of the Industries Department of the Government Secretariat and the Transformers and Electricals Kerala Limited for placing the materials and information solicited in connection with the examination of the subject. The Committee also wishes to thank in particular the Secretaries to Government-Industries and Finance Departments-and the officials of the Transformers and Electricals Kerala Limited who appeared for evidence and assisted the Committee by placing their views before it.

C. DIVAKARAN, Chairman

Chairman, Committee on Public Undertakings.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th March, 2017.

REPORT

ON

TRANSFORMERS AND ELECTRICALS KERALA LIMITED (TELK)

AUDIT PARAGRAPH-4.2.(2011-12)

Avoidable Loss

Reckoning the gross weight including the weight of Kraft paper as the weight of copper conductor returned after fabrication resulted in loss of ₹1.08 crore

Transformers and Electricals Kerala Limited (company) is engaged in the manufacture of Power Transformers and one of the major raw materials used in the process is Paper Covered Copper Conductor (PCC). Annual requirement of PCC is around 900 MT. The Company procures Continuous Cast Copper Wire rod from copper manufacturing companies and gets it converted into PCC by insulating with imported kraft paper on a weight to weight basis through fabricating contractors. During the fabrication process, copper rod is converted into rectangular conductors of specified sizes by drawing, rolling, annealing and covering with imported kraft paper of specified number of layers. After completing the process, the PCC is returned on a weight to weight basis, ie, for 100 kg. of copper rod supplied, the contractor returns 100 kg. of PCC to the Company. This indicated that the process does not involve any loss/wastage of copper.

During the scrutiny of the contractors for fabrication of PCC for the period 2010-11 and 2011-12 we noticed (December 2011) that while returning the finished product (PCC) on a weight to weight basis, for every 100 kg. of copper rod supplied, the contractor returned 100kg. of PCC including the weight of the kraft paper ranging from 0.9 to 9.04 per cent of PCC resulting in advantage to the contractor and loss to the Company. The Company thus lost ₹1.08 crore in respect of 1127.37MT¹³ of PCC consumed in the manufacture of 127 power transformers during 2010-2012.

The Company stated (July 2012) that when copper rods were converted into rectangular conductors there was scrap, the amount of which may vary on case to case basis. It was further added that there was no loss to the Company and even the notional profit/loss was minimal after considering a scrap of 3 per cent of which 60 per cent was saleable. Further, the contractors were not willing to change the prevailing practice and return 103 kg. of PCC for every 100 kg. of copper rod supplied. The Government endorsed (August 2012) the reply of the Company.

^{13 2010-11 (708.33}MT) and 2011-12 (419.04 MT).

The reply was not correct as the supply condition of 'weight to weight basis' itself indicated that the process did not involve any loss. No scientific assessment as to copper scrap, if any, generated vis a vis the quantity of paper used and its cost implication was carried out by the company. The Management, however, admitted that the realisable price of scrap was only notional and not actual. On being pointed out (October 2011) by us, the Company took up the matter and the contractors offered a reduced rate of ₹ 6.80 per kg. towards conversion charges in the subsequent tender (November 2011) as against ₹ 9.35 per kg. charged for the past three years.

[Audit paragraph 4.2 contained in the report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended March 2012.]

Notes furnished by Government on Audit paragraph is given in Appendix II.

- 1. Regarding the Audit objections on the avoidable loss occurred by fabrication of paper covered copper conductors for making power transformer, the Committee observed that by assuming the practice of weight to weight policy for making of 127 power transformers, TELK suffered a loss of ₹1.08 crore in respect of 1127.37 MT of PCC for the period of 2010-2012. The Committee enquired the reason for not taking any steps to get back PCC on a weight to weight basis excluding the weight of paper.
- 2. The witness explained that, the practice of weight to weight has been a universal industrial practice and TELK also followed that policy because of the tough competition prevailing in the industry. He added that the fabricators were not willing to quote separately for paper and copper. Moreover, the rate for the PCC on an outright purchase basis from fabricators was found to be higher than the rate incurred for conversion basis. Because of all these, the Company was forced to purchase PCC from fabricators due to scarcity of CC copper wire rods in the market.
- 3. During the process of conversion there was scrap and it varied from case to case. The cost of imported kraft paper is ₹ 150/kg. and during 2010-11, 3% loss was occurred during the process after considering paper cost and overall there was no loss to the company.

- 4. The Committee wanted further explanation regarding the reduction in conversion surcharges. The Principal Secretary replied that, the agreement between the Company and fabricators was that the Company get 100 kg. of PCC for 100 kg. Copper and it includes 97 kg. of copper and 3 kg. of paper and there was no notional loss. When the Committee enquired about the legal sanctity of the practice of weight to weight policy, the witness replied that as it was considered as a universal industrial practice, it was nothing illegal and it could only be considered as a Civil Contract between the two parties.
- 5. Considering the above explanation from the Principal Secretary, the Committee decided to drop the audit paragraph.

Conclusions/Recommendations

6. Considering the clarifications given by the Principal Secretary, Industries during the deliberation, the Committee has arrived at the conclusion that the loss that occurred during the fabrication of copper rod is minimal and is justifiable while calibrating in accordance with the universal industrial practice. The Committee, therefore, decides to drop pursuing action with the audit paragraph.

Thiruvananthapuram, 9th March, 2017.

C. DIVAKARAN,

Chairman,

Committee on Public Undertakings.

APPENDIX I
SUMMARY OF MAIN CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

SI. No.	Para No.	Department Concerned	Conclusions/Recommendations									
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)									
1	6	Industries Department	Considering the clarifications given by the Principal Secretary, Industries during the deliberation, the Committee has arrived at the conclusion that the loss that occurred during the fabrication of copper rod is minimal and is justifiable while calibrating in accordance with the universal industrial practice. The Committee, therefore, decides to drop pursuing action with the audit paragraph.									

APPENDIX II NOTES FURNISHED BY GOVERNMENT ON THE AUDIT PARAGRAPHS

South	Podes	Commence of the Commence of th	Reply furnished by Covernment
1	\dagger	Max 2	G.,
Į	4.2		The cost @ Rs.150/Kg for Kraft paper used for the three years 2010-11 and 2011-12 after loading paper scrap factor of 6.5% as informed to the company by the fabricators is as follows:
		!	Year Rs. 2010-11 30.93 Lakhs 2011-12 14.67 Lakhs
		1 ,	The details are being attached as Annexure-A. The cost of Kraft paper used in TELK during the period
		-	averaged from Rs.85/- to Rs.100/ It was also submitted that the Kraft paper used in TELK is of
		3	different thickness from the paper used by
		1 2	fabricator for covering purpose and the rates varies.
		[The loss figure of 3% was based on an average percentage of paper replaced for copper and it has
ŀ		ŕ	not been able to procure any scientific assessment.
		2	as to copper scrap generated. But it has been
1		,	informed by the fabricators that there is loss in the
		3	process and scrap exists. Bases on the above, there
l . l		,	is no notional loss and infact, there is a notional
		,	profit in year 2010-11, after considering Paper cost
1		1	and 3% process loss. The details are being attached as Annexure-B.

·

1	2	3
		It was also submitted that the industry practice is on "weight to weight" basis is being followed by other Transformer manufacturers like BHEL, SEIMENS, CGL. etc.
		Regarding Fabrication rates, it was submitted that negotiation on rates started much before the CfLAG has pointed out this matter. Actually, the fabrication rates got reduced not only to TELK, but all over the industry due to increased competition. Presently, the rates have been further negotiated as an on going process and the fabricators have agreed to reduce rate of Rs.6.75/Kg. from Rs.6.80/Kg pointed out by the CfLAG Audit.
		TELK also tried out right purchase of PCC from the fabricators in the context of non availability of CC copper rods in the market. The rate for PCC on out right purchase basis from fabricators is found to be higher than the rate incurred for conversion basis. For the month of April 2013, the rates for out right purchase for PCC quoted by M/s.KSH were Rs.450,42/Kg whereas the present rate on conversion basis was Rs.436.85/Kg. In the case of outright purchase also the fabricators are unwilling to quote separately for paper and copper and had quoted an all inclusive price. Considering the above the audit para may be dropped.
_		

a

ANNEXURE-A 2010-11

2010-11	_									
ł		1	l			,	AND OF PARTY			
1		1		l .	CETy of		concurred Copp	Walghted	l	L
		i	l l]	lead or	Prosper N of Kould Proper Kiga	rimitated (Kg)	Everage %	į .	Total Value
Į.].	Conductor	COPPOR 90741700		Allen metarit	at setter	F	of female
Cuesemer	Janea I	Voltage Class	MC No.	Specification	Address to the Party of the Par	- Comment	ather banding U.P.S. paylor cores factor	replaced	Cumity	paper 🛭
CEECHION.	- PTA	Accorde Course	MIU NO.	abanastation.	d (Kge).	- Te	Personal	for comper	(HOS)	Pic. 10thicu
AJUNA	316	- 400	1401.54	1 5 20 2 2	780X			1	└	
	17.		140194	1724178	1-92	7,37				
	'		-	120701010	1 223	4.1	127.1		⊢—	<u> </u>
	+ -			37234	+ 372.7	- 27	- 31	ļ	—	
	_		-	19 6 6-3-20	11276.00	4/14	- 484	L1	<u> </u>	457500.
	_		-	 	1					4271000
MICE	250	240	140148	123-040	12050,8	1.7	304.7 16.1 234.1	!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	1			1634337	100	44	- 11			
	-				12374.3		1 - 471	13		36713.
									·	39714.
LET Oman	1.90	220	140163	942-126	2211	6,82	(80.0	_		
				10572 lef.57	10044 3 4073 7 1723 6		1903			
<u> </u>	\rightarrow			10,572,142,70	4073.7	4.54	250		-	
					17238.0		154.1	4.6	2	367248.
Pro.	180	220	140150	113,00,46	2719.8 7623.8	1,1	71.3			
	4 1			16.6 24+1.52	7625.6	1,1 2 10	163			
	1			1.573.841.33	10391,8	15	26.0			
	┯┩			113,6-0.46 18,6-2,6-1.32 18,6-2,6-1.32 18,6-2,6-2,1	2787.4	- 12	146			
	+ - /				23621.7		#13 #14 #15	2.7	2	1944
LET Cours	126		44447	11.57.6-0.00 14.51.23 16.72.3-0.00 10.72.3-0.00 18.72.1-1.20						
COLUMN	+ 1271	132	14014	11,424,00	9140.7 1867.1	1.49	198.0			
	┿┿			17 201-27	1897.1	-13	68.4			
	╄╼╼╅			11.022340.00	97(17		97.4 6.4 (8.5) 813.7			
	╅			10.0 % % 0 0 0 0 0 0	3184.41 5546.41	79				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1 4			18 K & 191.04	2369A.6	145				
	+		-	·	2200 PL			2.7		44173
ERVFAL.	100	200	190168	10 2-7 Kin 44	2658	7.00				
	1. '**			10.5 2.7 41.82	0483.11	244 151				
· · ·	•			18 8 2 747 2	1027.4	- 475				
	- 1	:		16.627-27	107 A	- 739	- 328		_	
	 		_	15 BJ 5 BJ	716.4	200	- 23			
	1	-		· · · - · ·	716.4 17184.1	 		3.3	16	546675.8
	1				. 4				'''	
-	1 10	155	S. Gald	(3.5 7.8y 0.46	1791.75 1791.75 1705.3 1005.3	134 148 148 148	69.3			. +
				16'3422	1211.76	- 540	24			
C - 279.0			T	163022		1.65	24 25 A			
3 () X () X () X	11	_: (1683	334	31.5 446.6	·		
					HHAT		44.4	3.7	2	33970.0
A State of the	1]	1.5			
CORRE	13021	110	*245E)	4 3 340 13	2375.7	(32)	59.2	•		
	-	1		0°2.5+1.76	1424	7.00	198.4			
	1			479.5+1.78	4422.0	3.04	4.0			
	1 +				1279.3		201.7	1.4	1	137197,9
	1									
444	28	1101	120606	2.6-0.00	107.5	1.4	20.5			
	1			12°4.5+2.2	270K 4	3.63	142			
<u>.</u>	 1		^	7-15-22	4864.7	3.77	79.01			
· · · ·	1 1		~		4891.7		144	2.3	-4	60064.7
141	20	116	التصيد	M43H	4-44-1		<u> </u>	∔		
	 ~	1181	1	72 6+0.88 78+1.84 117-2.84	10144 2550 53 702.33	描	111.2 57.8			
	 -			19.542	707 921	- 1/21	11/2	+		·
				+ 1 to 2 T	6107.0		244.0	4.7		7510.3
	· · · · · ·		-+		7.76/2					7.444.0
AT Court	ᇒ	1991	seed :	2.59.7+0.48	22167	139	50.4	-		
			~~~~	1.6 2.8+0.44	2160.2	130	34 A	- +		
			- 18	673.6+1.76	2100.2 713.8 6501.8		29.2 (4.3	$\overline{}$	\rightarrow	
	_		_		430.4	****	74.7	14	- 3	\$8033.7
	ľ			1		_	747			
AT Orner	20	33 1	20071	2,8*2,7+0,46 1,5*2,6+0,40 8*3,6+1,76	2203.3	127	30.27	-		
			1	152.540.40	2153.3 2153.3 568.7		30.27 30.11			
				5'3.841.76	560.7	2.01	11.4			
					4924.3		71,2	1,6	16.	919304,4
					. 1					
ST Omen	15	3371	2027	0.67.4+0.66	2324.4	1.57	50.0			
			16	17.2-046	7816.4	1 18	21,11			
			1	244/16	377.8 4894.7	2.18	21,1 10.4	1.		
		1		T	dist.)		724	1,0	2	21781.4
				T						
HE Omes	10	33] 1	20562 0	5°2.240.66	1201.E	17	72.4			
			7	3.5-0.45	97.7	. 17	17.7			
				2.5 2.4+1.75	713.5	3.50	11			
OTAL	\rightarrow						92.6	2.0	_ 4,	31142.3 683 (74.427
								- T	7.	DOM: 1474 447

ANNEXURE-R

2011-12							संस्थात का नक्क			
Customer	MVA	Voltege Class	MiO No.	Conductor Specification	City of continue of (Kge)	Walohi %	consumeralCoppe r replaced (Kg)	Weighted average % of copper replaced for paper	Quartity (Nos)	Total Value of traft paper @ Rs.150/kg
						1.20	87.9			 -
APTRANSCO	315	400	140188	0.0*3,4+0.00	6386.96 614.3 6603.26	1.20				
				12,5*1+4,4	2007 34	1.00	35.5		1	24167.3
					- 0011.50					
	180	220	126380	14*2 4+0.86	2074.0	1,59	47.3			
OTL HV	100		140700	D.5*1+4.4	325.1	2.27	7.4			
- -			1	-	3,360.7		58.2	11	-	3 28203.4
		· —						 	}	
L&T Qman	125	132	140160	11.6 2.5 0.66	6)74.3	1.49			 	
	1			14°3.5°2.2 11.5°2°2.3°1.32	1892.7 9110.6	1 81			+	+
				12-2-2.2-1.54	3476.6			1		1
			├	12-2-2-2-1-3-1	23583.2		632.6			284781.2
	 -	 -			200000			1		
	101	400	140144	9.5*2*2.1+1.76	792.4	4.57			\Box	J
BGR	100	' 	1777 198	10*2*2 2+1 78	175	4.67		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	i
		 		(6-2-2.2+2.42	2002	6.32				
	-1	 		10*2*2.2+3.08	1036.8		63.0	<u>"</u>		4 208724.3
	- 1				6942.	7	347.6	9.3		41 2007243
						, , , ,	12.		! 	┩
KSEB	60.7	<u> </u>	53016	7.6*3.5+0.00	2190.3	4 1.25 3 2.47			- -	
			—	14*3+1.32	1995.				 	_
		<u> </u>		14*3.2+1.32	7905.2				 	1
	—	 	↓	14*3.2+2.84	5913.		180.		7 1	1 284184.6
			┼ ──	 	+ ********	1	 	· .		
	- 50		1 12000	7*3.5+0.48	436	9 1.3	38.		1	
L&T Oman	-}	/		10/241 54	6047	11 4.3			Ц.,	
			 	10*2+1.54 10*3.5+1.76	12227.	4 33	29.			
	_ -	-	<u> </u>		12227.	В	412.	0 1.	4	2 123000.0
	-						1 20.	_ 	 	
CORE	30,	2 11	0 15646	11.3°2.8+0.48 0.6°3.5+1.78	2218 2184	7 0.0 81 0			┿	
			1	111,3 2,8+0.48	715	2 3.2	1 71	} 	1	
			+	0.0-0.0+).10	6098	4) ***	23.	1.	3	3 30153.0
		 	+	+	1 -		 		T	
SC RLY	30.	2 13	2 12063	₹6,5°3.2+0.66	2882	8 1.2	4 35	7		
SC RLT	1 30.	* -	- 1500	P*2.0+1.54	5526.	7 3.5	2 200			
	${oldsymbol{ o}}$	 	1	16"3+1.64	1503				—	-
	+		$\overline{}$	13.5*4.5+2.2	52.	5 3.0	1.	취		5 134251.2
	_				9968	.01	288	3 3	4	3 134201.2
	1	1	<u> </u>		2230.	8 0.8	1 20		+	
LAT Oman	2	ol 3	3 72060	7 12.5*2.7+0.40	2230.				+	
	\dashv			11.6*2.8+0.46 9.5*3.5+1.78	717	6 32	6 23	.3	1	T
	- 	 	+	F.O 3.341.10	B112		67	.Ž 1	.3	20 202120.0
	+-	+	+		1	7	3			
Orefuld	 -;	al	0 12055	9 8.5*3.5+0 46	2918					
, <u>.</u>	—†—'	1	1	10.5*2.6+1.54	4103					
		T		7.5*2.6+2.64	428		27		. 	1 29854.0
				T	7480	.1	190	<u>.7 2</u>		49604.0
					1563	0 13	19	4	+	-+
KSEB		3	6 12060	d 13.5*3+0.66	1978				- 	
		+		8.5*2.5+1.1 12*3.5+1.78	257		3 7	.8	1	1
	-+-	 	+	12-3.5*1.70	3694		~ - 62		2	2 74830.4
\vdash	\rightarrow	+	+	+	- 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	
KSEB	12	A 11	(0) 12061	7 9*3-0.48	1242.		31 11			
<u> </u>	-+ '*		1,427	9*2.4+1.1	200	1 2.7				
	_		1	0"2.4+1.54	560.	25 J <u>.</u>	21	.6		
<u> </u>		·		7*3.5+2.2	291			1.3		
				1312,8+4.4		17 9.4		.6	1.6	Z 23950:1
					3101	N.U	· ·····	4 -	10	1467145.43
YOTAL			1 .						_	1 1427 143,400

1.12					City of copper consumed	anne americ	Total cost	% of Keall	consumed Copper registed (fig) after loading \$.5% paper strail	cobbea Svenede # 0;	Очела у (Мол	Total Value of large paper @ Ro. 1908g	Tomi scrap g 3% of busi copps weed in Kg	Spienble scriep Kge g 49% of work scrap	Scrap malitable price & SAN LMS	considering resticable acrap value and lorest paper cost
	MMA	Votes or Class	MO No.	Conductor Specification	#Kgel	per liquida.	1	F			 - -	<u> </u>		62		<u> </u>
Moune,	12772				5580.90	4423	2439943.2	1.2			+			18 1	1 329	
TRANSCO	315	400	1401	12.5°1+4.4	614.3	452	277704,40	1.20	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	24167	<u> </u>		- }	
10000			⊢ −	12.5*1*4.5	6001.20		L		 	"	₹		J—-	╼╌╌	1427	
		L—		 	1		L.,	19	47.	3		T	→ —	***	6 154	0
	Ι.		A A NO.	14*2.8+0.68	2974.		1248142			4		1		10	4775	2 55
IL HV	160	<u></u>	1 1-21-2	8.5*1+4.4	325		133640.6	<u> </u>	56	<u> </u>	•	3 2629	4	- 	$\overline{}$	T
	┷-	ļ.——	┿		1,291	71		+	+			 _	 -	76 1	65 502	
	-	 	+-		- A	- A98	5 4362576	7 0	9 136		— —			67	34 103	
	120	131	14010	11.572.5+0.66	\$174		2 80/518.3	4 13	34		- -	-}		273 1	64 497	
LT Oman	1 120	' '-		14-15-22	1982	A 473	4317513.	3 _ 3.2			+			102	61 187.	
	+-	t — :	\Box	11572730132	3415		6 1425426	6 3.8	134		-	3) 20478	12		117.5	-1024
	 -	T		12-2-2 2+1 54	Z1434		1	Γ.	137	╩┼┈┈╌	- 1 —				14 42	30
			1—			T	$\top \Box$		J	12	_				20 20	
	-	T	1,	9.5-2-2.9+1.78	- 1 78 2	4 444	4 Street	6 4.		51	-1	$\pm \pm -$		60	*** ****	10
GR	<u> </u>	5 40	0 1401	1072-2.2+1,18	7.7	2 464	4 113624	2 4		5.6				24	10 9	44
		<u> </u>		10 2 2 2 4 2 4 2	200	27 484	4 929724	쁜		3.0	\Box	4 2047		-3* 	1184	894
	-		+	10"2"2.2+3.08	1036		A 485 57.	<u>(4) 01</u>			12	4 2000		 -		
					9902	31	→—	+		~		_}		28	17 50	
		 	_				3 463536.	el 1.		7. 1			—	- 58	39 12/	
·	- Las	√	201 1301	10 7.5 5.5+0.66	2190		£ 1000013	17 2	207	41			-	60	36 11	
GEB	╌	+	\Box	14*541.32	199	5 4 A	1 0439.53	12 2		0.7				24	14 34	₩ 0 -105
	→-			14*3.2+1.32			1 389746	24 4		0.1	27	11 2641	1.14			184
				14'3 2+2 44	-		工二		_—						76 25	127
			4							94.5	-			191		822
			32 120	06.173.6+0.46			ZA 200462			6.4	\perp	 _	-	209	17	624
LAT Oman		50	34 1000	1977+154	1 204		20 325319 33 434000			29.5					193	146
				10"3.5+1.76			23 -32			12.0	3.4	2 1226		-+-	\Box	
					1222	2.84						_+		67		3543
		+			- 221		2.5 (#15213			20.2				e6	94	3206
CORE		0.2	10 120	508 12.5 2.7+0.46	- + - 27	3 d	2.5 803021	25		19.5			$\neg \neg =$	21		3535 -4
	— 			11.572.8+0.46		62 4	28 296	420	3.25	23.2	-12	3 30	163,6			
<u> </u>				9.5"3.5+1.74						67.0	''	· +			62 1	3838
 				 			J			36.7	-+-					511
				#18 10.5°3,2+0.65	28	4	19.7 12007		1 24 3 E	20.1				46		T298
SC RLY		0.2	152 12	872.6+1.64			18 4 230 3 19 8 63099		2.64	42.7			_+	— - 331	1	251
		_+		1973+1.54			14.71 2177		3.66	1.6			2512		14	3000
	+	_+		13.5-4.5-2.2			14.74 - 4571		~=	290:3	3.8	_ 3		-		
[\dashv	$\overline{}$			68.3F		—			_+		 -	67		1407
1			$\overline{}$			30.6	47.4 00707		9.81	20.3		_+		86		11156
	- +	20	\$\$ 12	1007 12 5 2 7+0.46		441	47.4 10030	2.56	0.9	11,5		_+	\neg	並	13	3000
LET Office	-+			11.672.6+0.48		7.5	27 8 2 W	4.74	3.25	<u> </u>	12	29 29	Tiges .			4467 4
	- $+$		\Box	D.5-3.5+1.76		M2.0				67.2						96201
	-	-τ-	· F						· •	26.3	_+			50	63	

15 24 264 169 244 169 257 244 169 257 244 258 258 244 259 259

ANNEXURE B

:\$10-11	MVA	Voltage Class	MC No.	Constructor Specification	Ony of copper (consumed (Kgs)	LME Cost of copper consumed/ kg (Rs.)		Paper	oranger of Papar consumed/Coppe r explaced (Kg) shaper scrap factor	average % of	Quantity (Nos)	Total Value of loreft paper (§ Re.150/kg	Total scrap @ 3% of total copper used in Kgs	Saleable screp Kgs @ 50% of total screp	rualizabio price 🕏	Loss after comidering resitzable scrap value and lorafi paper cost
AREVA	315	400	140254	13*2*2.3>1.76	7604.9	376.25	2861343.6	7.57	560.9	 	 	 	726	137	32953	
MREVM	- 310		1140135	11*2*2:4+1.76	3337 9						1	 	100			
		···	+	13*2*2.3+2.42	7468.B5						1		225	135		
	\dashv	 	·	13"2"2,3+1.08	4948.1					 		T	148	ES		
	-			1,4 4 4 1,4	23379.65			$\overline{}$	1426.3	£.	1	427900.6		<u> </u>	206430	22147
												I			ļ	<u> </u>
FGCL	250	240	140146	12-3+0.46	12038.8	386.56	4412022.1	1.7				T	361			
				951144	359.5	366.95	124579.53	4.40				T	10			
		$\overline{}$	T	T	12378.3	1		1	234.	1.1	•	1 35113.3			52271	171:
				I						1		 	ļ			
L&T Oman	. 160	220	14015	9*4.2+3.96	2211						1		66			
	Π.,			10.5 2 2 1+1.32	10048.2						-	↓	328 122			
	L			10.5 2 2 1+2.20	4579.7		1500717.0	5.68				247248.4	173	i	145647	
					17231.1	١			824.	2 4.	•	2 347 248.4			14004) IUIE
					1	L			···			 	62	41	11896	
UPPTCL	160	220	140150	11*3.5+0.46	2716.1			2 1.1			-	 -	229			
		1		15.5*2.8+1.32	7623.0		267607B.				+	 	317			
		1	<u> </u>	9.5*3.5~1.32	10391.0		3632941.				+	<u> </u>	94			
	_		4	15.5 2.6+2.2	2787.4		1055170	3 4.2	636.		,	2 1900094		` 	28268	
	_	 	↓ —		21521	<u>`</u>	. 	+	0.30-	4	4 -	4 1000	 	 	+	
	125		1 44014	9 11.5*2.5+0.66	9188	997.60	3100970	E 1.41	136.				270	16	5 3572	3
LET Oman	12	<u> 13.</u>	21 14014	14*3.5+2.2	1297			1 3.0			+	 	5		4 737	7
ļ		-	+	11.5 2 2.3-0.66	5773.		1060459.						177	10	4 2258	4
		+	+	10.5*2*2.5+0.88	3183.		1084468				_	1	9		7 1248	3
·	- +-	+		13*2*2.1+1.54	3515.		1193654				1	1	10	5 6		
		+	 -	13.4.2.3-1.5-	23566		1	+	B33		3	640417	2		73543	1 -950
		+	+	+		-	†	+	1					1	T'	
RRVPNL	10	0 22	13016	8110,5*3,3+0,48	265	8 292.0	775884	8 12					8			
-V-VF RL		`	*******	15 5 2.7+1.32	\$453.					2			26			
——		 	1	15.5 2.7+2.2	3021.			1 4.4	2 133.	.6			B			
 			1	14*3.7+3.3	2321		677906.4				T		7			
 		 	T		710	4 243.4	172946.6	a 2.6					2	1] 1	3 199	
		_	$\overline{}$	1	17162	ii			646.	4 3	.3	0 849578.	6		86184	2879
		1	Ι			1 "							 			
Seimens	. В	0 13	2 13016	7 13.5°2.6+0.46	5990.								18			
				16-3+2.2	1333.7						-					
				10.5*2*2+1.13	8276		5 Z767348				-	 	2	14		
					1053		6 354622.7	4 3.0				2 133676		<u>~</u>	12817	
					18664.3	J		-	445	.5] 2	17	<u>.2</u> 133678.	*	+	1201	
			1					4	.d	-	+		+ ,	n -	3 1016	· ·
CORE	30	2 1	0 12050	6 14"3.3+0.88	2378		5 062376.1				+		13		190	
				10*2.5+1.76	4467		5 1657250				+	 			76 81:	
		1	1	14*3.3*1.76	1432	.pt 370.9	6 531534.3	26 3.0	36 43	.0[·	101	<u> </u>

				8279.2		, ~		202.7	3.4	- al	127 197 0				_ ~
(SEB			 								147 (87,0)		— 	106140	2:00
MACO.	25	110	9-2.8+0.68	1977.6	359.55	714010.13	1.44	28.5				- se			
			P21+132	2386.4		858090.12		66.6				72	38	8191	
	++		12 4.5+2.2	417.8	359,56	222121-99		19.6				12	43	9885	·
	┿			4981.7		1	-	143.4	29	4	36364.7	18	11	2559	
CIAL	++								 -		***********			82617	,52
ARL	20	110	8°2.0-0 65	1814.0	357.15	046186.82	3.34	60.6	+						
			9*2+1.54	2550.65	367,15	810664.65	4.38	111.2	$\overline{}$	_		- 77	33	7457	
	┷┵		1172+2.64	792.56	356,25	262274.00	7.20	57.8					46	16494	
	+			6167.4			12.77	244.6	437	— 	73352.4	24	14	3252	
	+								~~	-4-	F3382.4			42426	3092
AT Omen	20	132	12 5 2.7 • 0.46	2210,7	374,36	830670.35	1.37	30.4	 +	-+		67			
	4—1.		11 5*2.8+0.48	2160,3		808708.51	1.25	29.2					40	9588	
	$+$ \perp		9,513,5+1,76	713.6		267924.83	2.01	14.3		—∗∔		66	39	9316	
	┷╌┖			5092.6		**********		78.7	1.6		69033.7	21	15	3056	
	I										304.33.7			1098.55	-5082
AT Other	20	33	12.5*2.7+0.46	2203.3	369.55	014229.52	1.37	30.2		-					
			11 5*2.8+0.48	2153.3		792091.41	1.36	20.1	-			68	40	9380	
	<u> </u>		9.5*3 5+1.78	568 7		205329 14	201	11.4		—+-		65	- 5	♦1.25	
			 	4826.3				78.3	1.4	15	189304	17		2346	
								- 199	1.3	73	169380.4			313063	-14387
AT Oman	15	33	10.5*2.4+0.66	2328.4	405.05	943118.42	1.57	36.6							
			14"3.2+0.66	1818.4		738542.92	1.18	21.11				70	47	10885	
	1—		 12 4+1.76	377.9	405.06	153068.4	2.76	10.4	 +-			- 56	33	8485	
	↓ ⊥		 	4624.7			**************************************	72.5	1.8	- 2	21781.4	11	- 7	1763	
	4 _	1	 						1-0	4	27/91/4			47729	-2047
HE Oman	10	38	**2.2+0.48	1291.6	349.85	451986,26	1,75	22.6	 +-	-					
	1		7" (\$+0.46	997.7		349045.36	1.27	12.7				30	23	5205	
			 12 5*2 8+1 76	395.3		137596.01	3.59	14.1		-		30 12	16	4021	
			 	2617,6				52.6	2.0		31662.3	- [2]	7	1585	
			 							→	31962.3			43246	-11684
										<u>i</u>					

0

Kerala Legislature Secretariat 2017

KERALA NIYAMASABHA PRINTING PRESS.